

懲戒処分 of 公表について

この度、2025 年 9 月 17 日に、下記の対象者に対し処分を行いました。

記

1. 対象者

所属・職位 : 立命館アジア太平洋大学 サステイナビリティ観光学部・教授

2. 処分内容

譴責

3. 根拠規程

立命館アジア太平洋大学教職員就業規則第 50 条第 1 項第 3 号「素行不良で大学の風紀、秩序を乱した場合」、同条同項第 9 号「職務上の指示命令、義務または職場規律に違反した場合」に該当する。

4. 処分の年月日

2025 年 9 月 17 日

5. 事案の概要

- (1) 対象者は、2024 年 12 月、女子学生 2 名とゼミ合宿に行き、以下の行為を行った。
 - ①施錠がない施設において、本件対象者と女子学生 2 名のみで宿泊した。
 - ②女子学生 2 名と深夜に飲酒を伴う時間を過ごし、その結果、うち 1 名が深夜に嘔吐を繰り返すほどの酩酊状態に陥った。
 - ③体調を崩した 1 名を、本件対象者が単独で介抱した。
- (2) 対象者は、過去にハラスメント相談事案として、2 度の注意を受けていたにもかかわらず、再び誤解を招く状況を招いた。このことは軽視できず、学生への影響力や教員としての職責を十分に認識していたとは言い難く、深い反省が求められる。

(以上)

Official Notice of Punitive Measures

Punitive measures were issued regarding the subject below on the 17th of September 2025.

1. Subject of Punitive Measures

Position and Affiliation: Professor, Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University College of Sustainability and Tourism

2. Type of Punitive Measure

Censure

3. Regulatory Basis

Conduct falls within the provisions of Article 1 Paragraph 1 Item 3 of the Work Rules of the Faculty and Staff Members of Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University, which states “Engaged in conduct recognized as harassment or damaged the University’s moral order or the public order by engaging bad behavior”, and Item 9 of the same Article and Paragraph which states “Failed to comply with work-related directions and orders, professional obligations or workplace rules”.

4. Date of Punitive Measures

17th of September 2025

5. Summary of Case

- (1) In December 2024, the subject attended a seminar study trip with two female students and engaged in the following actions.
 - ① The subject stayed overnight at a facility without locks, with only the subject in question and the two female students present.
 - ② The subject spent time drinking at night with the two female students, as a result of which one of them became so intoxicated that she repeatedly vomited in the middle of the night.
 - ③ The subject attended alone to the student who became unwell.
- (2) While the subject has previously received two warnings related to harassment consultation cases, they nonetheless caused a situation that again gave rise to misunderstandings. This matter cannot be taken lightly, as it is difficult to say that the subject in question fully recognized their influence on students and their responsibilities as a faculty member, demanding further deep reflection.